## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:			PCT			
			1 01			
see fo	orm PCT/ISA/220	The state of the s	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE			
300 10	MIT OTNORIZED	INTERNA	TIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT			
•			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
		1 1				
oplicant's or agent	's file reference					
ee form PCT//S		FOR FURT See paragraph	HER ACTION 1 2 below			
ternational applica	ition No. Internation	al filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
CT/US2008/05		• • • • •	09.03.2007			
ernational Patent	Classification (IPC) or both national	classification and IPC				
	A61Q11/00 C11D7/02 A23L1/		3/26 D06L3/02			
nlicent						
pplicant ATTELLE ME	MORIAL INSTITUTE					
. This opinio	n contains indications relating	a to the following items:				
. This opinic	in contains indications relating	y to the following items.	:			
⊠ Box No.	I Basis of the opinion	*				
☐ Box No.	II Priority					
☐ Box No.	III Non-establishment of opin	ion with regard to novelty, i	nventive step and industrial applicability			
⊠ Box No.						
⊠ Box No.	V Reasoned statement under applicability: citations and	er Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reg explanations supporting su	gard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement			
☐ Box No.		corporations corporating an				
☐ Box No.	VII Certain defects in the inte	rnational application				
☐ Box No.	VIII Certain observations on th	• •	•			
. FURTHER	ACTION					
			ion will couplie be considered to be a			
written opin	ion of the International Prelimina	ry Examining Authority ("IP	ion will usually be considered to be a EA") except that this does not apply where			
the applicar	it chooses an Authority other tha	in this one to be the IPEA a	nd the chosen IPEA has notifed the			
	i Bureau under Ruie 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) i o considered.	mai whiten opinions of this	International Searching Authority			
If this onini	on is, as provided above, conside	ered to be a written oninion	of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to			
submit to th	e IPEA a written reply together,	where appropriate, with am	endments, before the expiration of 3 months			
	te of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/22 expires later.	20 or betore the expiration o	of 22 months from the priority date,			
	options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
,		0.4.000				
. For further	details, see notes to Form PCT/I	SA/220.				
		I Date of the control of				
ame and mailing	address of the ISA:	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized Officer			
	pean Patent Office - Gitschiner Str.	· ·	Dalli Wahlat B			
	958 Berlin +49 30 25901 - 0	PCT/ISA/210	Pelli Wablat, B			
	+49 30 25901 - 840	İ	Telephone No. +49 30 25901-335			

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/056446

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion									
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:									
a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).										
2.	This opinion has been established taking into account the <b>rectification of an obvious mistake</b> authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))									
3. With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international app necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:										
	a. type of material:									
	□ a sequence listing									
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing									
	o. format of material:									
	□ on paper									
	☐ in electronic form									
	c. time of filing/furnishing:									
	□ contained in the international application as filed.									
	☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.									
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.									
4.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.									
5	Additional comments:									

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/056446

	Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention	)		· .					
1.		In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:										
		$\Box$ ,	paid additional fe	ees				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		□ paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee										
□ paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not												
	:		not paid addition	al fees								
2.			This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.									
3.	Thi	s Authoi	rity considers that	the requiren	nent of unit	y of invention in accor	dance with Rule	e 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is				
		complie	d with									
	$\boxtimes$	☐ not complied with for the following reasons:										
		see se	parate sheet			:						
4.	Co	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:										
	□ all parts.											
		the part	s relating to claim	s Nos. <u>1 par</u>	tially, 3, 4-8	3 partially, 12-17, 31						
						· 						
		x No. V Iustrial a				<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard as supporting such s		ventive step or				
1.	Sta	tement			-							
	Nov	velty (N)		Yes:	Claims	<u>3-8, 13, 15, 16</u>						
	÷			No:	Claims	<u>1, 12, 14, 17, 31</u>		·				
	Inv	entive st	tep (IS)		Claims							
				No:	Claims	<u>1, 3-8,12-17,31</u>		•				
	Ind	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1,3-8,12-16, 17,31</u>						
						•	•					
2	Cita	ations ar	nd explanations									

see separate sheet

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: DATABASE WPI; AN 1987-153978 XP002484983 & JP 62 091225 A (NIPPON SODA CO) 25 April 1987 (1987-04-25)
- D2: US-A-5284642
- D3: DATABASE WPI; AN 1986-110452 XP002484984 & JP 61 053398 A
- D4: US-A-3372125
- D5: DATABASE WPI AN 1984-233949 XP002484985 & JP 59 139314 A
- D6: US-A-2005/049157
- D7: FR-A-2805162

#### Re Item IV

### Lack of unity of invention

The problem underlying the present application is the provision of methods and cleaning compositions, food compositions, pharmaceutical compositions, articles, medical treatments ect.

Inventions 1-13 are different solutions to this problem, their common concept being the use of ferrate.

The use of ferrate in cleaning compositions is already known in the art see: US-B-5380443 (cited in the application, cleaning water, see claims), JP-A-61053398 and JP-A-62091115, US-A-5284642 (see col. 4, lines 6-10, claim).

Thus the common concept identified above is not novel and the problem underlying the application can be redefined as the provision of <u>further</u> methods and cleaning compositions using ferrate.

inventions 1-13 being separate solutions to this problem.

As no other technical features can be distinguished which, in the light of the prior art, could be regarded as special technical features in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT, the ISA is of the opinion that there is no single inventive concept underlying inventions 1-12 in the sense of Rule 13.1. PCT and consequently there is lack of unity and the different inventions, not belonging to a common inventive concept, can be formulated as follows:

Invention 1 (claim 1 partially tooth; claims 3; claims 4-8 (partially, tooth); claims 12-17, claim 31)

A method of cleaning a tooth using ferrate, a toothpaste, a tooth whitening appliance, a kit a method of cleaning a mouth.

Invention 2 (claim 1, partially article; claim 2, claims 4-8, partially, article; claims 9-11, 20)

A method of cleaning an article using ferrate.

Invention 3 (claim 18).

A method of treating a human or nonhuman animal by administering ferrate.

Invention 4 (claim 19)

A composition comprising encapsulated ferrate

Invention 5 (claims 21 and 22)

A composition comprising ferrate and food

Invention 6 (claim 23)

A composition comprising ferrate and a medicament.

Invention 7 (claim 24)

An article comprising a ferrate composition.

Invention 8 (claim 25)

A method of treating fur or hair.. providing a ferrate composition.

Invention 9 (claim 26)

A method of treating an infected plant.. providing a ferrate composition

Invention 10 (claim 27)

A method of declogging a drain... providing a ferrate composition

Invention 11 (claim 28)

A method of disposing of unwanted drugs.. providing a ferrate composition

Invention 12 (claim 29)

A dispenser for ferrate

Invention 13 (claim 30)

A chewing gum comprising ferrate.

It should be noted that for defining the different inventions, the ISA has taken into account the balance between necessary search efforts and the levying of additional fees.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

PCT/US2008/056446

The following examination is limited to invention 1

### 2. Novelty Art. 33(2)PCT

### **Preliminary Remarks**

Claim 17 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Claim 17 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule39.1(iv)67.1(iv)PCT.The

The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claim. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as patentable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow claims to a product, in particular substances or compositions for in a first or further medical treatment.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 12, 14 and 31 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

**2.1.** D4 discloses a composition for cleaning teeth comprising a ferrate in combination with an abrasive such as silica and a chelating agent (see col. 4, line 6-57, col. 2, lines 15-56 and col. 5, lines 59-75).

Consequently D4 takes away the novelty of independent claim 12 and claim 14 depending thereof.

- 2.2. D5 describes dentifrices comprising ferrite. Ferrate is not mentioned in D5.
- **2.3.** Documents D6 and D7 disclose cleaning formulations comprising an indicator producing a color change after a period to indicate the thoroughness of the cleaning. (see D6, page 1 par 5, page 5, par 49-50, claims; D7, claims).

Consequently, the subject-matter of independent claim 31 is anticipated by the disclosure of documents D6 and D7.